04-12-07

PTO/SB/21 (02-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE er the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number 10/809.969 **TRANSMITTAL** Filing Date March 25, 2004 **FORM** First Named Inventor Gregory J. STECKMAN Art Unit 1756 (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) **Examiner Name** Martin J. ANGEBRANNDT Attorney Docket Number OND-009/10754-18 Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance communication Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) to Technology Center (TC) Appeal Communication to Board Licensing-related Papers Fee Attached of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC ✓ Petition Amendment/Reply (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Petition to Convert to a **Proprietary Information** After Final Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Affidavits/declaration(s) Change of Correspondence Address Other Enclosure(s) (please Extension of Time Request Terminal Disclaimer Identify below): Request for Refund **Express Abandonment Request** 1. Return Receipt Postcard CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Remarks Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Christophe Moser, Individual name Signature Date April 11, 2007 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Typed or printed name

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date

April 11, 2007

Dawn Ebertowski

Signature

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

n re Application of: Gregory STECKMAN,

et al.

Examiner:

ANGEBRANNDT, Martin

Application No.:

10/809,969

Group Art Unit:

1756

Filing Date:

March 25, 2004

Office Action Date:

January 12, 2007

Docket No.

OND-009/10754-18

Confirmation No.

7894

Title: METHOD FOR PACKAGING

THERMALLY COMPENSATED FILTERS

MS: Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sirs:

In response to the Office Action dated January 12, 2007. No fees are believed to be necessary; however, in the event that any fees are required for the prosecution of this application, please charge any necessary fees to Deposit Account No. 503795. No extension of time is believed to be necessary. If, however, an extension of time is needed, the extension is requested and please charge the fee for this extension to Deposit Account No. 503795.

Application No.: 10/809,969 Response Dated: April 11, 2007 Reply to Office Action

APR 1 1 2007

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Claims 1-73 are pending in the present application.

Claims 38,42,45,46,48,49,61,62 and 66-73 are rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Lemaire et. Al (US 6,147,341).

Claims 1-5, 8-12, 19,22-33,38-42,45-49,56 and 59-69 are rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being fully anticipated by Sullivan (US 6,621'957).

Claims 1-12,19,22-49, 56 and 59-73 are rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan et al. (US 6,621'957), in view of Glenn et al. (US 4,807'950), Glenn et al. (US 5,388,173) or Laming et al. (US 6,169,829).

Claims 1-12, 19-49 and 56-73 are rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan et al. (US 6,621'957), combined with either Glenn et al. (US 4,807'950), Glenn et al. (US 5,388,173) or Laming et al. (US 6,169,829), further in view of Fells et al. (US 6,363,187).

Claims 38,39,42,45-50, 54 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being fully anticipated by Myers et al., (US-2003/0210863).

Claims 1-18 and 38-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers et al. (US-2003/0210863) in view of Sullivan (US 6,621'957) combined with Glenn et al. (US 4,807'950), Glenn et al. (US 5,388,173) or Laming et al. (US 6,169,829).

Prior Art (US 5,042,898; 6,507,693; 6,396,982; 6,628,862; 6,101,301; 5,771,250; 6,370,310; 6.304,687; 6,498,891;

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejected claims.